

DEC 28 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUVENCIO SALAZAR RAYA; MARIA  
de JESUS VELAZQUEZ TRUJILLO,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-72339

Agency Nos. A79-289-927  
A79-289-928

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 20, 2007<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Juvencio Salazar Raya and Maria de Jesus Velazquez Trujillo petition for  
review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) denying their

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without  
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review the denial of a motion to reopen for an abuse of discretion. *See Konstantinova v. INS*, 195 F.3d 528, 529 (9th Cir. 1999). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA considered the new evidence regarding petitioners' son Henry and acted within its broad discretion in determining that the evidence was insufficient to warrant reopening. *See Singh v. INS*, 295 F.3d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 2002) (The BIA's denial of a motion to reopen shall be reversed only if it is "arbitrary, irrational, or contrary to law.").

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED .**